REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST

PROPOSITION 98

Rebuttal to Argument in Opposition to Prop 98

The opponents fail to even mention Proposition 98 protects homes, rental units, family farms, small businesses and places of worship from being seized and bulldozed by politicians and developers to be converted to commercial developments for their private profit!

NO WONDER THEY DON'T MENTION THESE VITAL PROTECTIONS!—the opponents ARE the politicians and developers who are seizing the private property they want, to increase taxes and make huge development profits!

The opponents talk about wealthy landlords being the big Proposition 98 supporters. Nonsense! It is the individual homeowners whose voluntary donations sustain the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association's efforts to protect Proposition 13 and our homes who are the biggest contributors to Proposition 98.

And the biggest opponents of 98? The politicians and their big developer buddies!

Shame on the opponents for convincing 80-year-old veteran Robert and 85-year-old widow Helen to suggest Proposition 98 would end the rent controls Robert and Helen depend upon. The truth: Proposition 98, Section 6, specifically provides that rent controls for everyone now covered by rent controls can remain fully in effect for an unlimited period of time. Read Proposition 98, Section 6 in this Voter Guide, and you will see that Robert and Helen and everyone now covered by rent controls are fully protected.

The greater risk for Robert, Helen and thousands of others losing their rent controlled homes is if the opponents of Proposition 98 are allowed to seize and bulldoze them, and replace rent controlled homes with strip malls.

Ms. Cruz Baca Sembello, Victim of Government Home Taking, City of Baldwin Park

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 98

Mr. John Revelli, Victim of Government Business Taking, City of Oakland

Mr. Joel Ayala, President, California Hispanic Chambers of Commerce

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES